



# The Brazilian Journal of INFECTIOUS DISEASES

www.elsevier.com/locate/bjid



## Original article

# Microbial contamination of single- and multiple-dose vials after opening in a pulmonary teaching hospital

Shadi Baniasadi<sup>a,\*</sup>, Atosa Dorudinia<sup>b</sup>, Mandana Mobarhan<sup>b</sup>,  
Masoumeh Karimi Gamishan<sup>c</sup>, Fanak Fahimi<sup>d,e</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Virology Research Center, National Research Institute of Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases (NRITLD), Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>b</sup> Pediatric Respiratory Diseases Research Center, National Research Institute of Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases (NRITLD), Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>c</sup> Food and Drug Organization, Ministry of Health and Medical Education, Tehran, Iran

<sup>d</sup> Chronic Respiratory Diseases Research Center, National Research Institute of Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases (NRITLD), Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

<sup>e</sup> Clinical Pharmacy Department, School of Pharmacy, Shahid Beheshti University of Medical Sciences, Tehran, Iran

## ARTICLE INFO

### Article history:

Received 18 June 2012

Accepted 12 September 2012

Available online 5 January 2013

### Keywords:

Hospital

Infection control

Injection

Intravenous

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** Intravenous therapy is a complex procedure usually requiring the preparation of the medication in the clinical area before administration to the patient. Breaches in aseptic technique may result in microbial contaminations of vials which is a potential cause of different avoidable infections. We aimed to investigate the prevalence and pattern of microbial contamination of single- and multiple-dose vials in the largest pulmonary teaching hospital in Iran.

**Methods:** In a period of 2 months, opened single- and multiple-dose vials from different wards were sampled by a pharmacist. The name of the medication, ward, labeling of the vials, the date of opening, and storing temperature were recorded for each vial. Remained contents of each vial were cultured using appropriate bacterial and fungal growth media.

**Results:** Microbial contamination was identified in 11 of 205 (5.36%) of vials. The highest contamination rate was 14.28% for vials used in interventional bronchoscopy unit. The most frequent contaminated medication was insulin. Gram-positive bacteria (81.82%) were more significantly involved than gram-negative ones (9.09%) and fungi (9.09%), with the highest frequency for *Staphylococcus epidermidis*.

**Conclusions:** Our data demonstrate that repeated use of vials especially if basic sterility measures are disobeyed can cause microbial contamination of administered products to the patients. Infection preventionists are responsible to train health care workers regarding aseptic techniques and apply guidelines for aseptic handling of intravenous solutions.

© 2013 Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

\* Corresponding author at: Pediatric Respiratory Diseases Research Center, National Research Institute of Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases (NRITLD), Masih Daneshvari Hospital, Shahid Bahonar Ave, Darabad, Tehran, Iran. Tel.: +98 21 26109503; fax: +98 21 26109484.

E-mail addresses: sbaniasadi@yahoo.com (S. Baniasadi), atosadroodinia@yahoo.com (A. Dorudinia).

1413-8670/\$ – see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Editora Ltda. All rights reserved.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.bjid.2012.09.005>

## Introduction

Thirty to fifty percent of hospitalized patients receive intravenous therapy which requires the preparation and handling of the medicine before administration to the patient.<sup>1</sup> Parenteral medications are usually given out in single- and multiple-dose vials (SDVs and MDVs). A SDV is a vial of liquid medication intended for parenteral administration (injection or infusion) that is meant for use in a single patient for a single case/procedure/injection.<sup>2</sup> A MDV is a vial of liquid medication intended for parenteral administration (injection or infusion) that contains more than one dose of medication. MDVs should be dedicated to a single patient whenever possible.<sup>3</sup>

The revised version of United States Pharmacopeia (USP) Chapter 797 is a comprehensive document that describes standards and procedures to minimize the risk of contamination of compounded parenteral products. The chapter includes evidence-based instructions for pharmacy design, washing, garbing, cleaning, quality assurance, and personnel training and evaluation designed to improve compounding practices in all pharmacies that compound parenteral products.<sup>4</sup> However, sterile compounding procedures vary widely across the countries. In our country the majority of the reconstitution of injectable drugs is carried out right before the administration to the patient by the nursing staff. Prevalence of bacterial contamination of SDVs which were used more than once has been reported 5.6% in one of Iranian hospitals.<sup>5</sup> Fungal contamination of SDVs and microbial contamination of vials containing preservative were not examined in this report. The information regarding extrinsic microbial contamination of injectable drugs and potentially serious adverse events is slight in our country and still no measure has been taken to improve standards for intravenous therapy. We designed this study to understand the prevalence of contaminations of intravenous medications in our hospital and to design future intervention which could be made by infection control staff to prevent the contaminations of the injectable drugs.

## Material and methods

### Sample taking

Injectable drugs were prepared in a room with no special air-conditioning on the ward or unit in which everybody even the patient accompanied person and cleaning staff could enter in and out liberally. Using sterile technique, 3 mL of the medication was withdrawn from opened SDVs and MDVs daily by a pharmacist without prior warning. Before sampling, the vials were shaken briskly, and the rubber was swabbed with 70% ethanol. Name, potency and total volume of the vial, clinical ward, labeling or nonlabeling of the vials, the date and time of opening, storage condition, expiration date, and manufacturer name were then recorded.

### Laboratory diagnostic

Each sample was tested using three methods. (1) 1 mL was put into a tube containing 15 mL thioglycolate broth and incubated

at 37 °C for 10 days. The broth was visually examined every day and subcultured onto blood, chocolate and sabouraud dextrose agar plates every other day within 10 days or any time that the appearance seemed turbid.<sup>5</sup> (2) 1 mL was centrifuged (3000 rpm, 15 min), then the pellete was inoculated into blood, chocolate, MacConkey's and sabouraud dextrose agar. Two first media were evaluated after 48 h and the sabouraud dextrose agar was evaluated after 18 days. (3) 1 mL was filtered using 0.45 µm filters and the filters were placed onto blood agar plates. Plates were incubated for 48 h at 37 °C and evaluated for bacterial growth. They were stored for fungal growth for 18 days. The bacterial isolates were identified using Gram's staining and standard biochemical methods.

### Statistical analysis

Descriptive statistics were used to detail the distribution of contaminated vials and the contaminating microorganism. Due to the non-normal distribution of values, Mann-Whitney test was used to determine the relation of vial contamination and the date of opening of vial. The relation of type of vial and contamination rate was evaluated using Fisher's exact test. A P value of less than 0.05 was considered as statistically significant. Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS version 16.0.

## Results

A total of 205 vials (165 SDVs and 40 MDVs) were tested from 18 wards and units, with 29 medication types. Table 1 shows sampled medications from different wards/units. All vials were being used within their expiration period, and no vial had expired. No statistical difference was observed between contamination rate and the number of days that the vials were opened. A total of 115 (56.10%) vials were kept at room temperature, the rest at 4 °C.

Bacterial contamination was identified in 11 of 205 (5.36%) of vials. Contamination rate for SDVs and MDVs were 4.85% and 7.50% respectively. There was no significant difference in the frequency of contamination of different type of vials. Contaminations were found in three internal wards, emergency ward, Intensive Care Unit (ICU), transplant unit, and interventional bronchoscopy unit. The highest contamination rate was 14.28% (2/14) for vials used for interventional bronchoscopy unit and the lowest was 4.54% (1/22) for vials used for one of the internal wards which named as internal ward 3.

The most frequent contaminated solution was insulin NPH 100 U/mL. No mixed contamination was detected in any of vials. Gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria were involved in 9 (81.82%) and 1 (9.09%) of contaminations, respectively. Fungal contamination was detected in one sample (9.09%). Therefore, gram-positive bacteria were more significantly involved ( $p < 0.05$ ) in vial contaminations. Most commonly identified microorganisms were part of the normal commensally flora with the highest frequency for *Staphylococcus epidermidis* (4/11 or 36.36%). Table 2 shows the distribution of contaminated vials in different wards/units of the hospital along with the contaminating microorganism and the characteristics of the vials.

**Table 1 – Medication, name of ward/unit, and number of sampled vials.**

Medication	Ward/unit	Respective number of sampled vials
Acetylcysteine 200 mg/10 mL	Pediatric	1
Aminophylline 250 mg	Emergency, tuberculosis ICU	1, 1
Atracurium 50 mg/5 mL	Interventional bronchoscopy	1
Atropin 0.5 mg/mL	Interventional bronchoscopy	1
Blood cardioplegia	Operation room and anesthesiology	1
Bupivacaine 100 mg/20 mL	Operation room and anesthesiology	3
Ceftazidim 2 g	Emergency	1
Dextrose 5%	Internal (3), internal (9), emergency, surgical ICU	4, 3, 1, 1
Dextrose 3.33% + sodium chloride 0.3%	Internal (9), pediatric, emergency	1, 5, 2
Ganciclovir 500 mg	Transplant	2
Heparin 5000 U/mL	Internal (3), internal (4), internal (9), tuberculosis (5), pediatric, oncology, emergency, surgical ICU, sleep, surgery	1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2
Hydrocortisone 100 mg	Tuberculosis ICU	1
Insulin NPH 100 U/mL	Internal (3), internal (4), internal (9), tuberculosis (5), tuberculosis (6), oncology, CCU, emergency, surgical ICU, post CCU, surgery, transplant	1, 1, 2, 2, 1, 1, 1, 2, 1, 1, 2, 1
Insulin regular 100 U/mL	Internal (3), internal (4), internal (9), tuberculosis (5), tuberculosis (6), oncology, CCU, emergency, surgical ICU, tuberculosis ICU, medical ICU, operation room and anesthesiology, surgery, transplant	4, 1, 2, 2, 2, 1, 1, 3, 1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1
Ketamine hydrochloride 50 mg/mL	Interventional bronchoscopy, operation room and anesthesiology	2, 1
Lidocaine 2%	Interventional bronchoscopy	1
Magnesium sulfate 20%	Internal (3), internal (4), internal (9), tuberculosis (6), emergency, surgical ICU, tuberculosis ICU, medical ICU, operation room and anesthesiology	1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 7, 1, 1, 1
Meropenem 1 g	Surgical ICU	2
Methylprednisolone 500 mg	Internal (9), pediatric, emergency	1, 2, 3
Morphine	Internal (3)	1
Omnipaque 240 mg/mL	Radiology	1
Potassium chloride 15%	Internal (4), internal (9), tuberculosis (5), tuberculosis (6), emergency, surgical ICU, tuberculosis ICU, medical ICU, Operation room and anesthesiology, transplant	1, 3, 2, 1, 1, 9, 2, 6, 1, 3
Propofol 1% (w/v)	Interventional bronchoscopy	1
Sodium chloride 0.45%	Emergency	1
Sodium chloride 5%	Internal (3), emergency, tuberculosis ICU, medical ICU	2, 1, 1, 1
Sodium chloride 0.9%	Internal (3), internal (4), internal (9), tuberculosis (5), tuberculosis (6), pediatric, oncology, CCU, emergency, heart clinic, surgical ICU, surgery, transplant	8, 6, 5, 1, 3, 5, 2, 3, 2, 1, 2, 10, 1
Succinylcholine 500 mg	Interventional bronchoscopy	5
Thiopental sodium 1 g	Interventional bronchoscopy, Operation room and anesthesiology	2, 1
TNG 100 mg/mL	Interventional bronchoscopy	1

Six (54.54%) of contaminated vials were not marked with patient's name, which indicated that they were probably used for more than one patient.

## Discussion

Our data show a contamination rate of 5.36% with bacteria and fungi, in the content of the SDVs and MDVs used in different wards/units of a pulmonary teaching hospital in Iran. In principle, preparation, storage and transportation of Compounded Sterile Preparations (CSPs) require aseptic conditions and trained personnel. USP Chapter 797 is a comprehensive document that describes standards and procedures to minimize the risk contamination of CSPs.<sup>6</sup> But standards for CSPs in developing countries may be limited by lack of resources

(trained personnel and facilities). Non-standard preparation and handling of vials (which are assumed to be sterile) result in contamination rates, ranging from 0% to 27%.<sup>7</sup>

SDVs are preservative free vials which are intended to be used only once. Puncturing SDVs multiple times and pooling preservative free solutions may cause the potential contamination risk, possibly leading to severe infections in patients.<sup>8,9</sup> In the current study 165 of 205 vials (80.49%) were SDVs that were used as multiple-dose vials. This finding is more than reported percentage of 50% in the literature.<sup>10,11</sup>

On the other hand MDVs contain antibacterial preservatives and may be used more than once when preparation and storage is according to the manufacturer's recommendations. (e.g., insulin, some heparin, lignocaine and octeotide products).<sup>8</sup> If MDVs must be used for more than one patient, they should not be kept or accessed in the

**Table 2 – Distribution and frequency of contaminated vials in different wards/units, isolated bacteria, and the characteristic of contaminated vials.**

Ward/unit	Proportion of contaminated vials (%)	Organisms	Medication	Opened days before sampling	Storage condition
Internal (3)	1/22 (4.54%)	<i>Candida</i> sp.	Insulin regular 100 U/mL	11	4–6 °C
Internal (4)	1/11 (9.09%)	<i>Micrococcus</i> sp.	Magnesium sulfate 20%	0	Room temperature
Internal (9)	1/19 (5.26%)	<i>Micrococcus</i> sp.	Insulin NPH 100 U/mL	16	4–6 °C
Transplant	1/8 (12.5%)	<i>Bacillus</i> sp.	Potassium chloride 15%	33	Room temperature
Interventional bronchoscopy	2/14 (14.28%)	<i>S. epidermidis</i>	Succinylcholine 500 mg	7	4–6 °C
		<i>S. epidermidis</i>	Succinylcholine 500 mg	8	4–6 °C
Emergency	2/21 (9.52%)	<i>S. epidermidis</i>	Methylprednisolone 500 mg	2	Room temperature
		<i>S. epidermidis</i>	Dextrose 3.33% + sodium chloride 0.3%	0	Room temperature
Surgical ICU	3/24 (12.5%)	<i>Micrococcus</i> sp.	Potassium chloride 15%	0	Room temperature
		<i>Nocardia</i> sp.	Sodium chloride 0.9%	2	Room temperature
		<i>E. coli</i>	Insulin NPH 100 U/mL	9	4–6 °C

immediate patient treatment area. This is to prevent inadvertent contamination of the vial through direct or indirect contact with potentially contaminated surfaces or equipment that could then lead to infections in next patients. If a MDV enters the immediate patient treatment area, it should be dedicated to that patient only and discarded after use.<sup>3</sup>

It must be noticed that a preservative does not prevent non-bacterial and non-fungal contaminations (e.g., viral, protozoa, and prion pathogens) and does not prevent growth of microorganisms in low temperature.<sup>7</sup> MDVs remain prone to bacterial contamination and the use of them has been reported to be a potential source of infections in different studies.<sup>12–17</sup> Our study also shows that microorganisms can survive in the presence of a preservative as 3 of the contaminated vials were insulin. The sterility of multidose insulin vials was determined up to 50 days by Rathod et al. They showed bacterial contaminations in 8 of 69 insulin vials and concluded that antibacterial preservatives were more effective at room temperature than at refrigerator temperature.<sup>18</sup> Other study by Jackson et al. verified that prefilled insulin syringes remained sterile for up to one month after preparation when they were prepared using good aseptic technique and stored in the patient's refrigerator.<sup>19</sup>

The sterility of a CSP is directly related to employment of the best practice and quality standards. Safe preparation and handling of CPSs within a properly operating unidirectional airflow in an ISO class 5 clean room in accordance with USP chapter 797 requirements is the best way to avoid bacterial or fungal contamination.<sup>20,21</sup> The standardization then the centralization of the preparations and reconstitution of CPSs by infection prevention and control experts makes it possible to reduce contamination risk related to injectable drugs.<sup>22</sup> Although the requirements of USP chapter 797 may appear complicated, expensive, and even unattainable

in developing countries, the first step to establish quality standards could be made through training of health care workers according to recommendations from CDC and WHO.<sup>23,24</sup> The most applied recommendations include dating MDVs after opening and discarding them on the manufacture's dates, discarding SDVs after opening, and emphasizing the need for proper aseptic technique. Simple aseptic techniques that could be implemented in each hospital setting may be summarized as: performing hand hygiene before preparing medications for administration; using gloves, face mask, and avoidance of talking during an injection;<sup>25</sup> wiping the outsides of vials with 70% isopropyl alcohol swabs before opening and aspirating the contents of vials using a 5 p.m. filter straw<sup>26</sup>; and considering practical guidelines for lipid based emulsions that supports bacterial growth such as propofol.<sup>27</sup> Moreover reconstitution of high risk level intravenous treatments by a centralized hospital pharmacy service under sterile conditions is the next measure to reduce both infection risk and cost due to discarding expensive vials.

In conclusion infection preventionists in developing countries should improve sterile compounding of injectable products in a hospital. Although implementation of the guidelines from professional pharmacy organizations, such as the American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP) and the National Association of Boards of Pharmacy (NABP) guarantees patient safety, understanding the problems and limitations in a hospital is essential to develop a regional standard procedure.

### Conflict of interest

There is no conflict of interest that should be disclosed by the authors.

## REFERENCES

1. Garcia-Caballero J, Herruzo-Cabrera H, Vera-Cortes ML, et al. The growth of micro-organisms in intravenous fluids. *J Hosp Infect.* 1985;6:154-7.
2. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Questions about single-dose/single-use vials. [www.cdc.gov/injectionsafety/providers/provider\\_faqs\\_singlevials.html](http://www.cdc.gov/injectionsafety/providers/provider_faqs_singlevials.html) [accessed 12.03.12].
3. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Questions about multi-dose vials. [www.cdc.gov/injectionsafety/providers/provider\\_faqs\\_multivials.html](http://www.cdc.gov/injectionsafety/providers/provider_faqs_multivials.html) [accessed 12.03.12].
4. Curtis C, Sacks GS. Compounding parenteral nutrition: reducing the risks. *Nutr Clin Pract.* 2009;24:441-6.
5. Motamedifar M, Askarian M. The prevalence of multidose vial contamination by aerobic bacteria in a major teaching hospital, Shiraz, Iran, 2006. *Am J Infect Control.* 2009;37:773-7.
6. United States Pharmacopeial Convention. (797) Pharmaceutical compounding—sterile preparations. *Pharm Forum.* 2003;29:940-65.
7. Longfield R, Longfield J, Smith LP, Hyams KC, Strohmeyer ME. Multidose medication vial sterility: an in-use study and a review of the literature. *Infect Control.* 1984;5:165-9.
8. Dade J, Wilcox M, Kay L. Hazards of multiple use of pharmaceutical solutions. *Lancet.* 2000;356:1684-5.
9. Grohskopf LA, Roth VR, Feikin DR, et al. *Serratia liquefaciens* bloodstream infections from contamination of epoetin alfa at a hemodialysis center. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;344:1491-7.
10. Mattner F, Gastmeier P. Bacterial contamination of multiple-dose vials: a prevalence study. *Am J Infect Control.* 2004;32:12-6.
11. Nogler-Semenitz E, Lass-Flörl C, Nogler M, Speer G, Dierich MP. Bacterial contamination of solutions for parenteral administration for single- and multiple-dose vials after multiple use in the hospital. *Wien Med Wochenschr.* 2007;157:398-401.
12. Simon PA, Chen RT, Elliott JA, Schwartz B. Outbreak of pyogenic abscesses after diphtheria and tetanus toxoids and pertussis vaccination. *Pediatr Infect Dis J.* 1993;12:368-71.
13. Phillips G, Fleming LW, Stewart WK. The potential hazard of using multiple-dose heparin and insulin vials in continuous ambulatory peritoneal dialysis. *J Hosp Infect.* 1989;14:174-7.
14. Nakashima AK, McCarthy MA, Martone WJ, Anderson RL. Epidemic septic arthritis caused by *Serratia marcescens* and associated with a benzalkonium chloride antiseptic. *J Clin Microbiol.* 1987;25:1014-8.
15. Borghans JG, Stanford JL. *Mycobacterium chelonae* in abscesses after injection of diphtheria-pertussis-tetanus-polio vaccine. *Am Rev Respir Dis.* 1973;107:1-8.
16. Greaves WL, Hinman AR, Facklam RR, Allman KC, Barrett CL, Stetler HC. Streptococcal abscesses following diphtheria-tetanus toxoid-pertussis vaccination. *Pediatr Infect Dis.* 1982;1:388-90.
17. Kidd-Ljunggren K, Broman E, Ekvall H, Gustavsson O. Nosocomial transmission of hepatitis B virus infection through multiple-dose vials. *J Hosp Infect.* 1999;43:57-62.
18. Rathod M, Saravolatz L, Pohlod D, Whitehouse F, Goldman J. Evaluation of the sterility and stability of insulin from multidose vials used for prolonged periods. *Infect Control.* 1985;6:491-4.
19. Jackson EA, Gallo BM. Sterility of insulin in prefilled disposable syringes. *Am J Hosp Pharm.* 1990;47:2508-10.
20. Kastango ES, American Society of Health-System Pharmacists (ASHP). Blueprint for implementing USP chapter 797 for compounding sterile preparations. *Am J Health Syst Pharm.* 2005;62:1271-88.
21. Stucki C, Sautter AM, Favet J, Bonnabry P. Microbial contamination of syringes during preparation: the direct influence of environmental cleanliness and risk manipulations on end-product quality. *Am J Health Syst Pharm.* 2009;66:2032-6.
22. Hecq JD. Centralized intravenous additive services (CIVAS): the state of the art in 2010. *Ann Pharm Fr.* 2011;69:30-7.
23. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Guidelines for the prevention of intravascular catheter-related infections. [www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5110a1.htm](http://www.cdc.gov/mmwr/preview/mmwrhtml/rr5110a1.htm) [accessed 12.03.12].
24. Hutin Y, Hauri A, Chiarello L, Catlin M, Stilwell B, Ghebrehiwet, et al. Best infection control practices for intradermal, subcutaneous, and intramuscular needle injections. *Bull World Health Organ.* 2003;81:491-500.
25. Doshi RR, Leng T, Fung AE. Reducing oral flora contamination of intravitreal injections with face mask or silence. *Retina.* 2012;32:473-6.
26. Roshaliza HM, Liu CY, Joanna OS. Can the use of 70% isopropyl alcohol swab or aspiration using 5 microm Filter Straw reduce bacterial contamination of fentanyl solution used for regional anaesthesia? *Med J Malaysia.* 2011;66:92-4.
27. King CA, Ogg M. Safe injection practices for administration of propofol. *AORN J.* 2012;95:365-72.